UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN

United States of America	ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL
v. Jonathan Ryan Ledoux	Case No. 1:19-cr-00068-PLM
Defendant	
After conducting a detention hearing under the Bail Refeat the defendant be detained pending trial.	form Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f), I conclude that these facts require
Part I – Fi	ndings of Fact
	in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1) and has previously been convicted of that would have been a federal offense if federal jurisdiction had
a crime of violence as defined in 18 U.S.C. § 3 which the prison term is 10 years or more.	156(a)(4), or an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. § 2332b(g)(5)(B) for
an offense for which the maximum sentence is	death or life imprisonment.
an offense for which a maximum prison term of	f ten years or more is prescribed in:
a felony committed after the defendant had bee U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1)(A)-(C), or comparable state	en convicted of two or more prior federal offenses described in 18 e or local offenses.
any felony that is not a crime of violence but in a minor victim	
the possession or use of a firearm a failure to register under 18 U.S.C	or destructive device or any other dangerous weapon C. § 2250
(2) The offense described in finding (1) was committed v or local offense.	while the defendant was on release pending trial for a federal, state
(3) A period of less than 5 years has elapsed since the _ offense described in finding (1).	date of conviction defendant's release from prison for the
(4) Findings (1), (2) and (3) establish a rebuttable presur person or the community. I further find that defendar	nption that no condition will reasonably assure the safety of another it has not rebutted that presumption.
Alternativ	re Findings (A)
(1) There is probable cause to believe that the defendan	t has committed an offense
for which a maximum prison term of ten years Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. 801 et s	
under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c).	
(2) The defendant has not rebutted the presumption esta will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance a	ablished by finding (1) that no condition or combination of conditions and the safety of the community.
	re Findings (B)
(1) There is a serious risk that the defendant will not app	
(2) There is a serious risk that the defendant will endang	
	the Reasons for Detention
evidence a preponderance of the evidence that:	ne detention hearing establishes by <u></u> clear and convincing
 The court considered the nature of the charged offense and Acts were taken by defendant to carry through with the cha Defendant has no ties to the Western District of Michigan of The government proofs in support of the alleged conduct a 	rged offense despite misgivings that he might be arrested. ther than travel here.
Part III – Direction	s Regarding Detention

The defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General or a designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or held in custody pending appeal. The defendant must be afforded a reasonable opportunity to consult privately with defense counsel. On order of United States Court or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility must deliver the defendant to the United States marshal for a court appearance.

Date:	March 27, 2019	Judge's Signature: /s/ Ellen S. Carmody
		Name and Title: Ellen S. Carmody, U.S. Magistrate Judge